

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/645,613 | Applicant(s) SONG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Peng Ke | Art Unit 2174 | |

All Participants:

(1) Peng Ke.

(2) Ferenc Pazmandi.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: ____

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None
Claims discussed:
claim 30
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and the undersigned agent discussed the agent's interpretation of the limitation "unordered." the attorney suggests that this limitation is consistent with item 602 of figure 6 of Specification. Attorney indicates that since the character relationships listed in item 602 are not ordered by users, therefore the relationships are unordered..